

**This Issue**Views **18,019** | Citations **0** | Altmetric **185**

PDF

 Full Text Share

## Original Investigation

October 18, 2022

# Effect of Spinal Cord Burst Stimulation vs Placebo Stimulation on Disability in Patients With Chronic Radicular Pain After Lumbar Spine Surgery

## A Randomized Clinical Trial

Sozaburo Hara, MD<sup>1,2</sup>; Hege Andresen, RN, MSc<sup>1,2,3</sup>; Ole Solheim, MD, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>; [et al](#)[» Author Affiliations](#)

JAMA. 2022;328(15):1506-1514. doi:10.1001/jama.2022.18231

Visual  
AbstractRelated  
Articles

## Key Points

**Question** Among patients with chronic radicular pain after lumbar spine surgery, does spinal cord burst stimulation affect back pain-related disability?

**Findings** In this crossover randomized clinical trial that involved 50 participants who underwent placement of a spinal cord stimulator, there was no significant difference in change from baseline for the self-reported Oswestry Disability Index (range, 0 points [no disability] to 100 points [maximum disability]; minimal clinically important difference, 10 points) during the spinal cord burst stimulation periods vs the placebo stimulation periods (mean change, -10.6 points vs -9.3 points, respectively).

**Meaning** Among patients with chronic radicular pain after lumbar spine surgery, spinal cord burst stimulation, compared with placebo stimulation, resulted in no significant difference in back pain-related disability.

Our website uses cookies to enhance your experience. By continuing to use our site, or clicking "Continue," you are agreeing to our [Cookie Policy](#) | [Continue](#)

## Abstract

**Importance** The use of spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain after lumbar spine surgery is increasing, yet rigorous evidence of its efficacy is lacking.

**Objective** To investigate the efficacy of spinal cord burst stimulation, which involves the placement of an implantable pulse generator connected to electrodes with leads that travel into the epidural space posteriorly to the spinal cord dorsal columns, in patients with chronic radiculopathy after surgery for degenerative lumbar spine disorders.

**Design, Setting, and Participants** This placebo-controlled, crossover, randomized clinical trial in 50 patients was conducted at St Olavs University Hospital in Norway, with study enrollment from September 5, 2018, through April 28, 2021. The date of final follow-up was May 20, 2022.

**Interventions** Patients underwent two 3-month periods with spinal cord burst stimulation and two 3-month periods with placebo stimulation in a randomized order. Burst stimulation consisted of closely spaced, high-frequency electrical stimuli delivered to the spinal cord. The stimulus consisted of a 40-Hz burst mode of constant-current stimuli with 4 spikes per burst and an amplitude corresponding to 50% to 70% of the paresthesia perception threshold.

**Main Outcomes and Measures** The primary outcome was difference in change from baseline in the self-reported Oswestry Disability Index (ODI; range, 0 points [no disability] to 100 points [maximum disability]; the minimal clinically important difference was 10 points) score between periods with burst stimulation and placebo stimulation. The secondary outcomes were leg and back pain, quality of life, physical activity levels, and adverse events.

**Results** Among 50 patients who were randomized (mean age, 52.2 [SD, 9.9] years; 27 [54%] were women), 47 (94%) had at least 1 follow-up ODI score and 42 (84%) completed all stimulation randomization periods and ODI measurements. The mean ODI score at baseline was 44.7 points and the mean changes in ODI score were -10.6 points for the burst stimulation periods and -9.3 points for the placebo stimulation periods, resulting in a mean between-group difference of -1.3 points (95% CI, -3.9 to 1.3 points;  $P = .32$ ). None of the prespecified secondary outcomes showed a significant difference. Nine patients (18%) experienced adverse events, including 4 (8%) who required surgical revision of the implanted system.

**Conclusions and Relevance** Among patients with chronic radicular pain after lumbar spine surgery, spinal cord burst stimulation, compared with placebo stimulation, after placement of a spinal cord stimulator resulted in no significant difference in the change from baseline in self-reported back pain-related disability.

Our website uses cookies to enhance your experience. By continuing to use our site, or clicking "Continue," you are agreeing to our [Cookie Policy](#) | [Continue](#)



Access through your institution

## Read More About

Pain Medicine

Spinal Cord Disorders

Surgery

Orthopedics

Medical Devices and Equipment

Neurology

Neuropathy



**Monkeypox Resource Center**

## Trending

### Research

Effect of Graded Sensorimotor Retraining on Pain Intensity in Patients With Chronic Low Back Pain

*August 2, 2022*

### News

Virtual Reality Device Is Authorized to Relieve Back Pain

*December 21, 2021*

### Case Report

Back Pain, Fever, and Cough in a 46-Year-Old Man

*November 23, 2021*

### Select Your Interests

**JOB LISTINGS ON JAMA CAREER CENTER®**

Our website uses cookies to enhance your experience. By continuing to use our site, or clicking "Continue," you are agreeing to our [Cookie Policy](#) | [Continue](#)

**Physician- Family Medicine- West Clinic**

Madison, WI

**Podiatrist-SSM Health Waupun Memorial Hospital**

Waupun, WI

**Psychiatrists**

Worldwide

**Physician - Pediatrician - Glennon Care OP**

Warrenton, MO

See more at JAMA Career Center

**Trending****Effect of Graded Sensorimotor Retraining on Pain Intensity in Patients With Chronic Low Back Pain**JAMA | *Research* | August 2, 2022**Virtual Reality Device Is Authorized to Relieve Back Pain**JAMA | *News* | December 21, 2021**Back Pain, Fever, and Cough in a 46-Year-Old Man**JAMA | *Case Report* | November 23, 2021

Our website uses cookies to enhance your experience. By continuing to use our site, or clicking "Continue," you are agreeing to our [Cookie Policy](#) | [Continue](#)